

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 130184
ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 BIB-01 HA-05 OIC-02 OES-07 CIAE-00
DODE-00 PM-05 H-01 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 PA-01
SP-02 SS-15 ACDA-12 TRSE-00 ICAE-00 NSCE-00
INRE-00 SSO-00 /077 R

DRAFTED BY EUR/RPM:GJGREENWALD
APPROVED BY EUR:JEGOODBY
EUR/RPM:SJLEDOGAR C:RHOPPER
S/P:PKAPLAN S/MS:CKAMMAN
EUR/SOV:JHENDERSON
OES/APT/SEP:MR. ILLING
HA:MS. COHEN
L/EUR:JWILLIS
EUR/EX:JTULL
ACDA:MR. BARR

-----082664 230048Z /63

O R 222351Z MAY 78
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO USMISSION USNATO IMMEDIATE
INFO AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
AMEMBASSY PARIS

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 130184

E.O. 11652:GDS

TAGS: CSCE, NATO

SUBJECT: ALLIED CONSULTATIONS ON CSCE--EXPERTS MEETING
ON SCIENTIFIC FORUM

REFS: A) USNATO 4791; B) USNATO 5001

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 130184

1. DEPARTMENT WELCOMES MISSION'S INITIATIVE TO MAINTAIN
MOMENTUM OF ALLIED CSCE CONSULTATIONS (REF A). WE AGREE
THAT POLAD FORUM SHOULD BE UTILIZED REGULARLY OVER COMING
MONTHS TO DISCUSS CSCE AND PARTICULARLY FOUR AREAS (CON-
TACTS WITH EASTERN AND NNA STATES, EXPERTS MEETINGS,
IMPLEMENTATION AND HUMAN RIGHTS, AND CBMS) IDENTIFIED BY
MISSION. DIALOGUE ABOUT PRACTICAL ISSUES PROMISES TO BE
MORE EFFECTIVE AT THIS TIME IN MAINTAINING VITAL NATO
COORDINATING ROLE ON CSCE POLITICAL ISSUES IN FACE OF
DIFFICULTIES WITH FRENCH THAN PROCEDURAL DISCUSSIONS ON

WHETHER OR NOT FORMAL PAPERS CAN BE AGREED. WE ALSO SHARE MISSION'S VIEW THAT AS GENERAL MATTER CONSULTATIONS ON FOUR SUBJECTS CITED ABOVE AS WELL AS OTHERS THAT MAY EVOLVE SHOULD PROCEED IN TANDEM. IN VIEW OF URGENT REQUIREMENT TO DEVELOP POSITION FOR EXPERTS MEETING ON SCIENTIFIC FORUM WHICH BEGINS IN BONN JUNE 20 AND BECAUSE

OF TIME CONSTRAINTS IMPOSED BY PENDING NATO SUMMIT, HOWEVER, WE WOULD PREFER TO HAVE MAY 23 POLADS DISCUSSION CONCENTRATE ON SINGLE TOPIC OF EXPERTS MEETINGS. WE WILL ENDEAVOR TO PROVIDE GUIDANCE ON OTHER TOPICS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

2. MISSION MAY WISH TO INITIATE DISCUSSION BY MAKING FOLLOWING GENERAL POINTS ABOUT US APPROACH TO THREE POST-BELGRADE EXPERTS MEETINGS:

-- WE CONSIDER THAT THE THREE EXPERTS MEETING CONVENED BY THE BELGRADE CONFERENCE OVER THE NEXT NINE MONTHS OFFER US A NUMBER OF OPPORTUNITIES DISTINCT FROM THEIR INDIVIDUAL MERITS AND DEMERITS. THEY CAN BE USED TO DEMONSTRATE THE CONTINUING VIABILITY OF THE CSCE PROCESS, DESPITE THE NEGATIVE ATTITUDE SHOWN BY THE SOVIETS AT CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 130184

BELGRADE AND THE MEAGER TANGIBLE RESULTS RECORDED IN THE CONCLUDING DOCUMENT. BY DEMONSTRATING OUR DETERMINATION TO WORK CONSTRUCTIVELY FOR MEANINGFUL RESULTS AT THESE MEETINGS ON SUBJECTS OF LESS THAN PRIMARY CSCE IMPORTANCE TO THE WEST, WE CAN REAFFIRM OUR COMMITMENT TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ASPECTS OF THE FINAL ACT BEYOND ITS HUMAN RIGHTS AND HUMAN CONTACT PROVISIONS. AT THE SAME TIME, OUR OBVIOUS COMMITMENT TO WORKING FOR PROGRESS IN ALL AREAS CAN FACILITATE OUR BILATERAL APPROACHES TO THE EAST OVER THE NEXT TWO YEARS AND OUR EFFORTS AT MADRID IN 1980 TO ACHIEVE MORE PROGRESS ON HUMAN RIGHTS AND HUMAN CONTACTS. WE THEREFORE ATTACH IMPORTANCE TO THESE EXPERTS MEETINGS.

-- TO TAKE FULL ADVANTAGE OF THE POTENTIAL IN THESE MEETINGS FOR MAINTAINING THE CSCE PROCESS -- AND ALSO TO AVOID REAL RISKS THAT EXIST IN EACH INDIVIDUAL MEETING -- WE WOULD WISH TO MAINTAIN THE CLOSE CONSULTATION IN THE ALLIANCE THAT HAS ALWAYS MARKED CSCE. WE ENVISAGE BOTH GENERAL REVIEWS AT THE POLICY LEVEL AND DETAILED CONSULTATION AMONG EXPERTS, AT NATO BEFORE MEETINGS BEGIN, AND AT THE MEETINGS THEMSELVES.

3. WE ARE STUDYING THE SWISS NOTE ON THE PEACEFUL SETTLEMENTS OF DISPUTES EXPERTS MEETING IN MONTREUX AND WILL PROVIDE VIEWS SHORTLY BUT NOT IN TIME FOR MAY 23 POLADS DISCUSSION. MISSION MAY DRAW ON FOLLOWING IN

COMMENTING UPON FRG PAPER ON SCIENTIFIC FORUM EXPERTS MEETING. SINCE THIS EXPERTS MEETING IS ONLY A MONTH AWAY, WE BELIEVE IT PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT TO CONCENTRATE DISCUSSION UPON IT. WE HAVE THE IMPRESSION THAT

RELATIVELY LITTLE SUBSTANTIVE THINKING HAS YET BEEN DONE SINCE THE SUBJECT WAS DEALT WITH ON A LARGELY PROCEDURAL BASIS FROM A VERY EARLY STAGE OF THE GENEVA NEGOTIATION OF THE FINAL ACT. USG THINKING ALSO IS CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 130184

STILL IN THE PRELIMINARY PHASE. WE LOOK TO THE ALLIES, AND PARTICULARLY TO THE FRG WHICH HAS SPONSORED THE SCIENTIFIC FORUM CONCEPT SINCE IT WAS LAUNCHED BY THEN FOREIGN MINISTER SCHEEL AT 1973 HELSINKI FOREIGN MINISTERS' MEETING THAT BEGAN CSCE, TO HELP US DEVELOP OUR POSITION. SINCE THIS WILL BE FIRST POST-BELGRADE EXPERTS MEETING, ITS NATURE AND RESULT WILL HAVE A PRECEDENTIAL AND ATMOSPHERIC IMPORTANCE BEYOND ITS INTRINSIC VALUE.

4. FRG PAPER APPEARS TO US TO ASK APPROPRIATE INITIAL QUESTIONS. AS THRESHOLD MATTER, WE BELIEVE THAT JUNE 20 MEETING SHOULD BE ENTIRELY PROCEDURAL AND TECHNICAL. IN OUR VIEW THIS IS BOTH CALLED FOR BY FINAL ACT WHICH MANDATES EXPERTS ONLY "TO PREPARE" A POSSIBLE SCIENTIFIC FORUM NOT TO DEAL WITH SUBSTANCE OF SUCH A FORUM, AND LOGICAL RESULT OF BELGRADE EXPERIENCE. IT WILL BE RECALLED THAT LAST SUMMER'S PREPARATORY MEETING CONFINED ITSELF ENTIRELY TO ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS. BY OBTAINING AN APPROPRIATE ORGANIZATION AND AGENDA, IT WILL BE POSSIBLE TO ENSURE THAT WESTERN PARTICIPANTS CAN ADDRESS ANY SUBJECT THEY DESIRE DURING SCIENTIFIC FORUM ITSELF. POTENTIALLY MOST CONTROVERSIAL ISSUES INVOLVING HUMAN RIGHTS OF SCIENTISTS, INCLUDING FREE EXCHANGE OF SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION, FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT OF SCIENTISTS, AND HARASSMENT, IMPRISONMENT AND DENIAL OF WORK, NEED NOT NECESSARILY ARISE DIRECTLY AT BONN EXPERTS MEETING. WE WOULD NEED, HOWEVER, TO ENSURE THAT AGENDA EXPERTS PREPARE GUARANTEES THAT THESE ISSUES CAN AND WILL BE BROUGHT UP AT SCIENTIFIC FORUM ITSELF. OF COURSE IF PRIVATE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY'S OUTRAGE OVER ORLOV CASE CONTINUES TO MOUNT OR IF SOVIETS TAKE FURTHER DRAMATIC REPRESSIVE MEASURES, IT MAY BECOME NECESSARY TO CONSIDER SOME APPROPRIATE RESPONSIVE ACTION THAT ALLIED DELEGATIONS COULD

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 130184

TAKE.

5. THERE ARE SO FEW CRITERIA AT PRESENT TO GUIDE WORK OF BONN MEETING THAT IT WOULD PROBABLY BE PARTICULARLY USEFUL

WERE FRG AS HOST ABLE TO TABLE AT OUTSET A DRAFT OF DOCUMENT THAT MIGHT BE ENVISAGED COMING OUT OF MEETING. SUCH A DRAFT MIGHT SERVE TO FOCUS WORK AT EARLY STAGE ON PRACTICAL QUESTIONS AND AVOID CONSIDERABLE LOSS OF TIME AS DELEGATIONS CAST ABOUT FOR HANDLE ON SUBJECT MATTER. BELGRADE EXPERIENCE MAKES IT LIKELY THAT IT WOULD BE

EASIEST TO GAIN AGREEMENT TO MANDATE LANGUAGE THAT ADHERES AS CLOSELY AS POSSIBLE TO FINAL ACT. LANGUAGE IN THAT DOCUMENT ESSENTIALLY REFLECTS WESTERN CONCEPTS, INCLUDING DESIRABILITY OF EMPHASIZING PERSONAL CONTACTS BETWEEN SCIENTISTS AS OPPOSED TO FORMALISTIC EXCHANGES BETWEEN OFFICIAL, INSTRUCTED GOVERNMENTAL REPRESENTATIVES. THUS CORE OF A DRAFT SUBMITTED TO EXPERTS MEETING MIGHT, DRAWN FROM FINAL ACT, RECOMMEND THAT GOVERNMENTS OF CSCE PARTICIPATING STATES APPROVE HOLDING "A SCIENTIFIC FORUM IN THE FORM OF A MEETING OF LEADING PERSONALITIES IN SCIENCE FROM THE PARTICIPATING STATES TO DISCUSS INTERRELATED PROBLEMS OF COMMON INTEREST CONCERNING CURRENT AND FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS IN SCIENCE, AND TO PROMOTE THE EXPANSION OF CONTACTS, COMMUNICATIONS AND THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION BETWEEN SCIENTIFIC INSTITUTIONS AND AMONG SCIENTISTS."

6. WE BELIEVE, HOWEVER, THAT IT WOULD PROBABLY BE DESIRABLE TO SEEK TO GO BEYOND THIS SIMPLE RESTATEMENT TO PROVIDE MORE SPECIFIC GUIDANCE FOR A SCIENTIFIC FORUM. BETWEEN TWO POSSIBLE FORMATS SUGGESTED IN FRG PAPER, WE SUSPECT THAT IT WOULD BE MORE PRACTICAL TO SEEK AGREEMENT ON A GENERAL THEME SUCH AS "COOPERATION IN THE STUDY OF COMMON PROBLEMS RESULTING FROM THE EXPANSION OF INDUSTRIALIZED SOCIETIES," THAN ON INDIVIDUAL, DETAILED CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 06 STATE 130184

SUBJECTS. LATTER COURSE WOULD REQUIRE SUBSTANTIAL NEGOTIATING EFFORT TO FIND A MIX OF TOPICS ACCEPTABLE TO BOTH EAST AND WEST AND COULD RISK PLACING SCIENTIFIC FORUM IN TOO NARROW A THEMATIC CONFINEMENT AT AN EARLY STAGE. WE CAN ENVISAGE, THEREFORE, A DRAFT PROPOSAL WHICH WOULD RECITE THE LANGUAGE OF THE FINAL ACT AND THEN MOVE FROM THE HIGHLY GENERAL TO THE SLIGHTLY MORE SPECIFIC BY ENUNCIATING COMMON THEME AROUND WHICH MEETING WOULD BE ORGANIZED. ONE FURTHER ISSUE THAT MIGHT BE RESOLVABLE BY MEANS OF RELIANCE UPON FINAL ACT LANGUAGE WOULD BE QUESTION OF WHETHER SCIENTIFIC FORUM SHOULD CONFINES ITSELF TO PHYSICAL AND NATURAL SCIENCES OR INCLUDE SOCIAL SCIENCES AS WELL. PROPOSED GENERAL THEME DEVELOPED BY FRG WOULD, OF COURSE, GO CONSIDERABLE WAY TOWARD REFLECTING WESTERN POSITION THAT SOCIAL SCIENCES SHOULD BE INCLUDED. SOME EFFORT MIGHT ALSO BE MADE IN DRAFT RECOMMENDATION, HOWEVER, TO MAKE THIS MORE PRECISE BY REFERRING SPECIFICALLY TO THREE MAIN SCIENTIFIC CATEGORIES

LISTED IN FINAL ACT IN SAME SUB-SECTION AS SCIENTIFIC FORUM, NAMELY "EXACT AND NATURAL SCIENCES", "MEDICINE", AND "THE HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES."

7. WHAT ELSE MIGHT BE ACCOMPLISHED BY BONN EXPERTS MEETING REQUIRES CONSIDERATION OF LEGAL AND POLITICAL QUESTIONS RAISED BY FRG PAPER. IT SEEMS TO US CLEAR FROM LANGUAGE OF BELGRADE CONCLUDING DOCUMENT -- EXPERTS MEETINGS "WILL DRAW UP CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND SEND THEIR REPORTS TO THE GOVERNMENTS OF THE PARTICIPATING STATES. THE RESULTS OF THESE MEETINGS WILL BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT, AS APPROPRIATE, AT THE MADRID MEETING." -- THAT BONN MEETING WILL NOT BE IN A POSITION TO TAKE DECISIONS WITHOUT THE NEED FOR FURTHER ACTS OR CONFIRMATION BY THE CSCE PARTICIPATING STATES. WE
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 07 STATE 130184

CONSIDER THAT THIS IS AN IMPORTANT POINT TO MAINTAIN SINCE BONN MEETING WILL HAVE PRECEDENTIAL VALUE FOR SUBSEQUENT MONTREUX MEETING ON PEACEFUL SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES AND VALLETTA MEETING ON MEDITERRANEAN. WE DO NOT WISH TO ESTABLISH PRECEDENT THAT AN EXPERTS MEETING CAN GO BEYOND MANDATE SET FORTH IN BELGRADE. WE BELIEVE THAT THIS LIMITED CONCEPT OF BONN MEETING'S POWERS IS FURTHER REINFORCED BY FINAL ACT LANGUAGE IMMEDIATELY AFTER PASSAGE ON EXPERTS MEETING THAT PARTICIPATING STATES WILL CONSIDER "IN DUE COURSE WHAT FURTHER STEPS MIGHT BE TAKEN WITH RESPECT TO THE SCIENTIFIC FORUM."

8. AN ADDITIONAL QUESTION IS WHETHER OR NOT A DECISION ON RECOMMENDATIONS FROM BONN MEETING, INCLUDING DECISION ON WHETHER TO CONVOKE SCIENTIFIC FORUM, CAN ONLY BE MADE AT MADRID IN 1980. FINAL ACT LANGUAGE ABOUT CONSIDER "IN DUE COURSE" LEAVES THIS POINT OPEN. A STRICT READING OF BELGRADE CONCLUDING DOCUMENT WOULD PROBABLY LEAD TO CONCLUSION THAT DECISION MUST BE LEFT TO MADRID. WE RECOGNIZE THAT SOME ALLIES MAY BE CONCERNED THAT THIS COULD RESULT IN POTENTIALLY AWKWARD SITUATION IN WHICH MORE THAN TWO YEARS ELAPSE WITH NO VISIBLE ACTIVITY AFTER EXPERTS HAVE MADE THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS. SHOULD PARTICIPATING STATES SO DESIRE, IT MIGHT BE FEASIBLE TO FORMULATE A BONN MEETING RECOMMENDATION THAT FRG, AS PROSPECTIVE HOST, CONSULT WITH OTHER GOVERNMENTS ON RECOMMENDATIONS. IF FRG'S SOUNDINGS RESULTED IN CONSENSUS, IT MIGHT THEN BE ABLE TO ISSUE INVITATION FOR SCIENTIFIC FORUM SOMETIME IN 1979 OR EARLY 1980.

9. OUR PRELIMINARY REACTION TO THIS LATTER PROSPECT, HOWEVER, IS QUITE SKEPTICAL. SWISS HAVE ALREADY INDICATED THAT THEY WISH TO SEE MONTREUX MEETING GROW INTO SERIES OF MEETINGS, AND WE WOULD EXPECT MALTESE TO MAKE STRENUOUS EFFORTS TO PUSH VALLETTA MEETING BEYOND

BOUNDS CAREFULLY SET FOR IT BY BELGRADE CONCLUDING
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 08 STATE 130184

DOCUMENT. IT IS ALSO FAR FROM CLEAR AT THIS POINT HOW WELL A SCIENTIFIC FORUM WOULD COME OFF. IF THERE IS SUBSTANTIAL CHANCE THAT IT WOULD PRODUCE CONTROVERSY BUT FEW CONCRETE RESULTS, IT COULD SET A BAD CLIMATE FOR MADRID. WE PREFER, THEREFORE, TO TAKE STRICT CONSTRUCTIONIST VIEW OF POWERS AND PROCEDURES OF BONN MEETING AND RELATIONSHIP OF EXPERTS MEETINGS TO MADRID. ON OTHER HAND, IF EXPERTS MEETING RECOMMENDATION IS THAT SCIENTIFIC FORUM BE SCHEDULED FOR 1981 OR LATER, CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF THAT RECOMMENDATION COULD BUILD A POSITIVE SUBSTANTIVE "RESULT" INDEPENDENT OF POTENTIAL CONTROVERSY ON REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION AND NEW PROPOSALS INTO MADRID AGENDA IN SAME MANNER AS FINAL ACT PROVISIONS ON EXPERTS MEETINGS GAVE BELGRADE SOMETHING SUBSTANTIVE TO FALL BACK UPON.

10. WE WOULD BE INTERESTED IN RECEIVING ALLIED VIEWS ABOUT A POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SCENARIO UNDER WHICH BONN MEETING WOULD RECOMMEND TO GOVERNMENTS:

-- THAT A SCIENTIFIC FORUM BE CONVENED AFTER MADRID WITH A MANDATE AS PROVIDED IN FINAL ACT AND AS REFINED BY GENERAL THEME SUCH AS THAT DEVELOPED BY FRG;

-- THAT FRG, AS PROPOSED HOST, UTILIZE TIME BEFORE MADRID MEETING TO CANVASS PARTICIPATING STATES AS WELL AS UNESCO AND ECE WITH A VIEW TO ATTEMPTING TO REACH AGREEMENT ON SOMEWHAT MORE SPECIFIC AGENDA TOPICS WITHIN THAT GENERAL THEME THAT MIGHT BE SUBJECT OF PARTICULAR DISCUSSION AT SCIENTIFIC FORUM;

-- AND THAT RESULTS OF FRG'S SOUNDINGS BE TAKEN INTO
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 09 STATE 130184

CONSIDERATION AT MADRID WHEN PARTICIPATING STATES MAKE FORMAL DECISION, IN LIGHT OF THEN EXISTING CSCE AND EAST-WEST CIRCUMSTANCES, ON WHETHER OR NOT TO CONVENE SCIENTIFIC FORUM.

11. WE RECOGNIZE THAT THIS SCENARIO WOULD HAVE DRAWBACKS IF APPLIED TO MONTREUX AND VALLETTA MEETINGS SINCE IT COULD PROVIDE OPENING TO SWISS AND MALTESE TO ASSUME SOME SORT OF RAPPORTEUR'S ROLE AFTER CONCLUSION OF THEIR MEETINGS. HOWEVER, IT SHOULD BE POSSIBLE TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN BONN, WHICH IS SPECIFICALLY CHARGED WITH PREPARING A FURTHER MEETING, AND MONTREUX AND VALLETTA WHICH ARE NOT. FURTHERMORE, SCENARIO WOULD MITIGATE PROBLEM

OF LONG GAP BETWEEN BONN MEETING AND PROPOSED SCIENTIFIC FORUM SINCE FURTHER PREPARATORY WORK WOULD BE GOING AHEAD

THAT COULD REASONABLY BE VIEWED AS REQUIRING, AFTER THE PATTERN OF MANY OTHER INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCES, SEVERAL YEARS.

12. FINAL QUESTION ASKED IN FRG PAPER IS HOW WEST SHOULD DEAL WITH PROBLEM THAT MAY ARISE FROM DIFFERING EAST-WEST VIEWS ON WHETHER SCIENTIFIC FORUM IS TO BE REGARDED AS INTERGOVERNMENTAL. SOVIETS WILL ALMOST SURELY INSIST THAT SCIENTIFIC FORUM BE REGARDED AS INTERGOVERNMENTAL MEETING OF CSCE PARTICIPATING STATES. WEST WOULD WISH STRONGLY TO EMPHASIZE PRIVATE, INFORMAL, SCIENTIST-TO-SCIENTIST ASPECT. THIS DISPUTE MAY BE MORE THEORETICAL THAN ACTUAL SINCE SOVIETS CAN ALWAYS CONTROL FULLY ANY DELEGATION THEY SEND. IT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE, HOWEVER, TO OBTAIN COMPROMISE LANGUAGE IN RECOMMENDATION DRAWN FROM FINAL ACT THAT EMPHASIZES PRIVATE ASPECTS AND LEAVES WESTERN STATES FREE TO DEVELOP OFFICIAL/PRIVATE MIX OF PARTICIPATION THAT MAY SEEM MOST APPROPRIATE CLOSER TO DATE OF SCIENTIFIC FORUM.

13. BONN EXPERTS WILL ALSO HAVE TO ADDRESS SEVERAL OTHER CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 10 STATE 130184

QUESTIONS. WE ASSUME FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS WOULD BE BASED ON STANDARD CSCE BLUE BOOK FORMULA WHICH SETS OUT PERCENTAGE EACH PARTICIPATING STATE IS EXPECTED TO BEAR. WE WOULD PROBABLY WISH TO AVOID ANYTHING IN RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WOULD ENVISAGE A CONTINUING INSTITUTIONAL LINK BETWEEN SCIENTIFIC FORUM AND CSCE FOLLOW-UP MEETINGS (I.E., PROVISION FOR SCIENTIFIC FORUM TO REPORT TO MADRID'S SUCCESSOR) OR WOULD PREJUDGE QUESTION OF WHETHER SCIENTIFIC FORUM WOULD BE A RECURRING EVENT. BEST SOLUTION MIGHT BE TO HAVE FORMULA IN RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WOULD PERMIT PARTICIPANTS IN SCIENTIFIC FORUM TO ADDRESS SUCH QUESTIONS ON THEIR MERITS IN LIGHT OF POST-MADRID CIRCUMSTANCES INCLUDING RESULTS OR NON-RESULTS PRODUCED AT SCIENTIFIC FORUM ITSELF.

14. IT WILL BE APPARENT FROM ABOVE THAT MUCH OF OUR THINKING IS STILL IN PRELIMINARY PHASE. WITHOUT GOING INTO ANYTHING LIKE THIS MUCH DETAIL, FOR EXAMPLE, DEPARTMENT OFFICIALS (DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARIES GOODBY AND VINE AND EUR/RPM DIRECTOR LEDOGAR) WHO HAVE BEEN APPROACHED IN PAST FEW DAYS FOR VIEWS ON SCIENTIFIC FORUM BY REPRESENTATIVES OF ROMANIAN, FINNISH AND POLISH EMBASSIES RESPECTIVELY, HAVE INDICATED THAT WE ARE ADDRESSING FORTHCOMING MEETING WITH OPEN MIND. WE GAINED IMPRESSION THAT OUR INTERLOCUTORS' GOVERNMENTS WERE ENGAGED IN INFORMATION GATHERING EXERCISE AND LIKEWISE ALSO HAD NOT YET FORMULATED SOLID POSITIONS. WE BELIEVE, THEREFORE,

THAT IT WOULD BE PARTICULARLY USEFUL FOR ALLIES TO
ENGAGE IN MORE DETAILED DISCUSSION IN COMING WEEKS AMONG
THEMSELVES AND SUGGEST THAT EXPERTS BE CONVENED FOR FULL
DAY'S GATHERING AT NATO SUFFICIENTLY EARLY SO THAT VIEWS
CAN BE FULLY TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT IN PREPARING DELEGATION
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 11 STATE 130184

INSTRUCTIONS. WE WOULD BE PREPARED TO SEND OUR DELEGATION
CHIEF, GUY CORIDEN, DEPARTMENT OFFICER ON DETAIL TO CSCE
COMMISSION STAFF, TO NATO FOR SUCH A MEETING WEEK OF
JUNE 5.

VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 26 sep 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: COLLECTIVE SECURITY, POLICIES, ALLIANCE, MEETING AGENDA, COMMITTEE MEETINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 22 may 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE130184
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: EUR/RPM:GJGREENWALD
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Expiration:
Film Number: D780216-0158
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t197805112/baaaeyesa.tel
Line Count: 398
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Message ID: a47a3895-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 8
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A) USNATO 4791; B) USNATO 5001
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 16 may 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2540351
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: ALLIED CONSULTATIONS ON CSCE--EXPERTS MEETING ON SCIENTIFIC FORUM
TAGS: MILI, OCON, US, CSCE, NATO
To: USNATO INFO BONN MULTIPLE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/a47a3895-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014